

VU Research Portal

Union formation and partner choice of the second generation of Turkish origin in Europe

Huschek, D.

2011

document version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication in VU Research Portal](#)

citation for published version (APA)

Huschek, D. (2011). *Union formation and partner choice of the second generation of Turkish origin in Europe: The influence of third parties and institutional context*. [PhD-Thesis – Research external, graduation internal, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam]. Vrije Universiteit.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

E-mail address:

vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl

Table of Contents

List of Tables

List of Figures

1	Introduction	13
1.1	Introduction	15
1.2	Background	18
1.2.1	European union formation patterns	18
1.2.2	Migration and union formation of Turkish migrants	19
1.2.3	Union formation among the Turkish second generation	22
1.3	Framework for union formation analysis	23
1.3.1	Union formation and partner choice preferences	24
1.3.2	The influence of third parties	25
1.3.3	The institutional and societal context	28
1.4	This Study	30
1.4.1	Data: The Integration of the European Second Generation	30
1.4.2	Terminology	32
1.4.3	Specific research questions and outline of the study	33
2	Does social embeddedness influence union formation choices among the Turkish and Moroccan second generation in the Netherlands?	37
2.1	Introduction	39
2.2	Background	40
2.2.1	Migration to the Netherlands	40
2.2.2	Union formation in the Netherlands, Turkey and Morocco	41
2.2.3	Union formation of Turks and Moroccans in the Netherlands and Europe	42
2.3	Hypotheses: Influence of social embeddedness on union formation	43
2.3.1	Social embeddedness into non-co-ethnic networks	43
2.3.2	Strong versus weak ties	44
2.4	Data and methods	45
2.4.1	Data	45
2.4.1.1	Dependent variables	46
2.4.1.2	Independent variables	46
2.4.2	Methods	48
2.5	Results	49
2.5.1	Union formation patterns of second-generation Turks and Moroccans and native Dutch	49
2.5.2	Influence of social embeddedness into non-co-ethnic networks on union formation decisions	50
2.5.2.1	Transition to first union	50
2.5.2.2	Transition to first marriage	52
2.5.2.3	Current union type	53
2.5.2.4	Ethnicity of current partner	54
2.6	Conclusion and discussion	54

3	First union timing among second-generation Turks in Europe: The role of parents, peers and institutional context	59
3.1	Introduction	61
3.2	Background	62
3.3	The role of parents	63
3.4	The role of peers	64
3.5	Integration policies and welfare regimes.....	65
3.6	Demographic factors influencing the timing of union formation	66
3.7	Data, methods and variables	67
3.7.1	Data	67
3.7.2	Methods	68
3.7.3	Variables	68
3.8	Results	71
3.8.1	Descriptive results	71
3.8.2	Multivariate results	76
3.9	Conclusion and discussion	78
4	Partner choice patterns of the Turkish second generation in Europe	83
4.1	Introduction	85
4.2	Background	86
4.3	Determinants of partner choice	87
4.3.1	The choice for a specific partner: Reasons and assumptions	87
4.3.2	Third parties	88
4.3.2.1	Parents	89
4.3.2.2	Peers	89
4.3.3	Individual characteristics	90
4.3.4	Contextual factors	91
4.4	Data and method	92
4.4.1	Data	92
4.4.2	Variables	93
4.4.2.1	Dependent variable	93
4.4.2.2	Independent variables	93
4.4.3	Methods	96
4.5	Results	97
4.5.1	Partner choice by country	97
4.5.2	Partner choice by way of meeting and consanguineous union	98
4.5.3	Multivariate results of partner choice	100
4.5.3.1	Country comparison and control variables	100
4.5.3.2	Third parties: Parents and peers	101
4.5.3.3	Individual characteristics	103
4.5.3.4	Context	105
4.6	Conclusion and discussion	105

5	Gender-role behavior affected by partner choice, gender ideology and societal factors: Second-generation Turks in Europe	111
5.1	Introduction	113
5.2	Turkish migration to Europe	114
5.3	Gender-role attitudes among Turkish migrants and their descendants	115
5.4	Individual level factors: Gender ideology and partner choice	116
5.4.1	Gender ideology	116
5.4.2	Partner choice	117
5.5	Macro level factors: Country differences	118
5.6	Hypotheses	120
5.7	Data, methods and variables	120
5.7.1	Data	120
5.7.2	Method	121
5.7.3	Variables	121
5.7.3.1	Dependent variables	121
5.7.3.2	Independent variables	122
5.8	Results	124
5.8.1	Gendered division of labor among second-generation Turks in six European countries	124
5.8.2	Multivariate results	125
5.8.2.1	Individual level findings related to gender ideology and partner choice	126
5.8.2.2	Macro level findings related to country typology	129
5.9	Conclusion and discussion	129
6	Conclusion and discussion	133
6.1	Introduction	135
6.2	Summary of findings	137
6.2.1	Union formation decisions among second-generation migrants in the Netherlands	137
6.2.2	Timing of first union among the Turkish second generation across Europe ..	138
6.2.3	Partner choice of the Turkish second generation across Europe	139
6.2.4	Gender-role behavior of the Turkish second generation across Europe.....	141
6.3	Discussion of findings	142
6.3.1	The role of third parties in understanding differences in union formation within the Turkish second generation and compared with the Moroccan second generation	143
6.3.2	The role of the institutional and policy context for cross-country differences among the Turkish second generation	146
6.4	Suggestions for future research	149
	References	153
	Samenvatting	167
	Acknowledgements	175
	Curriculum Vitae	178

List of Tables

Table 1.1	European country estimates of the size of the population of Turkish descent	20
Table 2.1	Overview of independent variables by ethnic group for total sample and those living with a partner (in percent)	47
Table 2.2	Hazard ratios for Cox regression models on transition to first union and transition to first marriage	51
Table 2.3	Odds ratios for logistic regression models on current union type and ethnicity current partner	53
Table 3.1	Description of independent variables by country, mean and SD	69
Table 3.2	Median age at first union among the Turkish second generation by gender, city and country	71
Table 3.3	Percentage of second-generation Turks in a first union by age 20, 25 and 30 by gender, city and country	72
Table 3.4	Logistic hazard regression for transition to first union	74-75
Table 4.1	Definition of possible partner type	95
Table 4.2	Description of independent variables by country, mean and SD	95
Table 4.3	Partner type in percent among second-generation Turks by country and gender	97
Table 4.4	Partner choice by meeting place and consanguineous union	98
Table 4.5	Competing risks model for partner choice among second-generation Turks (Model 1)	99
Table 4.6	Competing risks model for partner choice among second-generation Turks (Model 2)	104
Appendix	Results of the Wald tests comparing partner type outcomes against each other for all models	109-110
Table 5.1	Overview countries by welfare regime and predominant family model	119
Table 5.2	Overview variables gender-role behavior by gender	122
Table 5.3	Overview independent variables by gender, mean and SD	123
Table 5.4	Odds ratios of shared tasks among second-generation Turks	127
Table 5.5	Predicted probabilities of sharing among second-generation Turkish men and women assuming characteristics related to a low and high likelihood of egalitarian gender-role behavior	128

List of Figures

Figure 2.1	Smoothed survival curves for entry into first union (A) and first marriage (B) by group and gender	49
Figure 2.2	Effect of percentage natives at secondary school for entry into first union (A) and first marriage (B)	51
Figure 3.1	Age effects for women and men	76
Figure 3.2	Effect of percentage natives at secondary school	78
Figure 4.1	Age effects for second-generation Turkish men by partner type	100
Figure 4.2	Age effects for second-generation Turkish women by partner type	100
Figure 4.3	Effect of percent natives at secondary school for partner choice of Turkish second-generation men	103
Figure 4.3	Effect of percent natives at secondary school for partner choice of Turkish second-generation women	103
Figure 5.1	A and B: Percentage of respondents indicating childcare and routine household tasks (traditionally female domain) are shared among second-generation Turks by gender	125
Figure 5.2	A and B: Percentage of respondents indicating financial matters and earning money (traditionally male domain) are shared among second-generation Turks by gender	125

